VILLAGE OF INDIANTOWN
AGENDA
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING

November 16, 2018
at the INDIANTOWN CIVIC CENTER
15675 SW Osceola Street, Indiantown, FL 34956

COMMITTEE MEMBERS
ROGER BULMER
MICHAEL GARRETT
VEVERLY GARY-HAMILTON
KEVIN POWERS
MARIA ROSADO
SCOTT WATSON
MILTON WILLIAMS

BONNIE LANDRY, AICP, PLANNING CONSULTANT
WADE C. VOSE, VILLAGE ATTORNEY

Civility: Being "civil" is not a restraint on the First Amendment right to speak out, but it is more than just being polite. Civility is stating your opinions and beliefs, without degrading someone else in the process. Civility requires a person to respect other people's opinions and beliefs even if he or she strongly disagrees. It is finding a common ground for dialogue with others. It is being patient, graceful, and having a strong character. That's why we say "Character Counts" in Indiantown. Civility is practiced at all Village meetings.

Special Needs: If anyone attending this meeting requires a special accommodation, please contact Cheryl White, Village Clerk, by telephone at (772) 597-9900 or by email at cwhite@indiantown.org. If you are hearing impaired, please contact the Florida Relay Service, Dial 711, or call 800-682-8706 (English); 800-682-8786 (Espanol); 800-855-2886 (TTY).

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL:

☐ ROGER BULMER
☐ MICHAEL GARRETT
☐ VEVERLY GARY-HAMILTON
☐ KEVIN POWERS
☐ MARIA ROSADO
☐ SCOTT WATSON
☐ MILTON WILLIAMS

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN REVIEW COMMITTEE MEMBER'S COMMENTS

COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC

*-The public is invited to comment for up to 3 minutes **on any item not discussed on the Agenda.***

COMMITTEE ACTION ITEMS

1. Approval of the September 28, 2018 minutes.

Motion:	Second:	Discussion by Council:	Public Comment	Vote:

Motion to approve the minutes from 9-28-18

2. Data to Support the Comprehensive Plan

ANNOUNCEMENTS

ADJOURNMENT

VILLAGE OF INDIANTOWN, FLORIDA
AGENDA MEMORANDUM

MEETINGDATE: November 16, 2018

MEETING TYPE: Bonnie Landry

AGENDA ITEM TITLE: Approval of the September 28, 2018 minutes.

SUMMARY OF ITEM: Staff prepared minutes for review and approval for the 9-28-18 agenda.

RECOMMENDATION: Approve the minutes as drafted.

PREPARED BY: Bonnie Landry   DATE: 11/11/2018

REVIEWED BY: Wade Vose, Village Attorney   DATE: 11/15/2018

APPROVED BY: DATE:

ATTACHMENTS:
Description
9-28-18 CPR Minutes

VILLAGE OF INDIANTOWN, FLORIDA
MINUTES

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING

FRIDAY, September 28, 2018
9 A.M.
INDIANTOWN CIVIC CENTER
15675 SW Osceola Street, Indiantown, FL 34956

BONNIE LANDRY, AICP, PLANNING CONSULTANT
WADE C. VOSE, VILLAGE ATTORNEY

CALL TO ORDER:
The meeting was called to order at 9:07 a.m. A quorum was present.

ROLL CALL:

•	ROGER BULMER	PRESENT
•	MICHAEL GARRETT	PRESENT
•	VEVERLY GARY-HAMILTON	PRESENT
•	KEVIN POWERS	PRESENT
•	MARIA ROSADO	PRESENT
•	SCOTT WATSON	PRESENT
•	MILTON WILLIAMS	PRESENT

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN REVIEW COMMITTEE MEMBER'S COMMENTS: (Usually Limited to Non-Agenda Items)
Mr. Powers briefly introduced the issue of Impact Fees and how western and coastal fees should be considered on a parcel-by-parcel basis. The data collected for the Comprehensive Plan could help with the impact fee process.

COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC
Mr. Doug Caldwell expressed dissatisfaction with the limitations of communication due to the Florida Sunshine Law. He also said he was disappointed with the lack of attendance of Council Members at the Comprehensive Plan Review Committee Meeting. Bonnie Landry explained that due to the Sunshine Law it is better for if they get their updates from their designee rather than attend the meetings. Having them present could give the appearance of a violation of this law.

Ms. Barbara Clowdus announced that there is an important visioning meeting on Oct. 12 at IRSC from 9 a.m. until 4 p.m. The meeting is to discuss growth patterns projected to the year 2070. She encouraged members to consider attending this meeting.

COMMITTEE ACTION ITEMS:
     1. Minutes from August 10, 2018.
Kevin Powers made the motion to approve the minutes as drafted. The motion was seconded by Scott Watson. The motion passed 6-0-1 (Ms. Rosado was out of the room when the motion was made.)

     2. Report from Listening Session on the Comprehensive Plan
  Mrs. Landry provided a presentation of the data collected at the Listening Session.

ANNOUNCEMENT:
The next meeting of the CPR Committee will be at 9 a.m. Friday, November 16, 2018.

ADJOURNMENT: There was no further business. The meeting was adjourned at 10:36 a.m.

VILLAGE OF INDIANTOWN, FLORIDA
AGENDA MEMORANDUM

MEETINGDATE: November 16, 2018

MEETING TYPE: CPR

AGENDA ITEM TITLE: Data to Support the Comprehensive Plan

SUMMARY OF ITEM: Staff is in the final stages of collecting and sorting data to establish a baseline of current population and uses of land within the boundary of the Village of Indiantown. As specified in Florida State Statute 163.31777, the comprehensive plan will be based upon surveys, studies and data regarding the planning area including:
A. The amount of land required to accommodate anticipated growth
B. The projected permanent and seasonal population of the area
C. The character of undeveloped land
D. The availability of water supplies, public facilities and services
In order to achieve this, staff has partnered with Metro Forecasting Models who is strategically "scrubbing" the raw data provided by the Property Appraiser in order to understand what is currently built and an idea of how Indiantown would grow over the years based upon the current land use and zoning on the available vacant land. With this baseline, staff will recommend a Future Land Use Map which would respond to current needs and conditions, public input and direction from the Village Council.

Staff recommends that the surveys, studies and data that provides a foundation for the plan not be a part of the plan but rather be referenced as a supporting document to the plan. That said, the supporting documents will be made available for public inspections as required by law. This supporting data and/or summaries will be used to aid in the determination of compliance and consistency.

The amount of data is extensive so staff will provide an overview of the data collected thus far and recommends scheduling a workshop to thoroughly vet the data presented.

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends that the CPR provide initial reaction to the data presented and schedule a Public Workshop at 9 a.m. on Friday, December 14.

PREPARED BY: Bonnie Landry   DATE: 11/11/2018

REVIEWED BY: Wade Vose, Village Attorney   DATE: 11/15/2018

APPROVED BY: DATE:

ATTACHMENTS:
Description
U.S. Census American Community Survey data

ACS Population Summary

Web Map Site
Area: 14.1 square miles

Prepared by Esri

	2012 - 2016 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
TOTALS				
Total Population	6,330		648	▥
Total Households	1,518		135	▥
Total Housing Units	1,939		129	▥
POPULATION AGE 3+ YEARS BY SCHOOL ENROLLMENT				
Total	5,936	100.0%	600	▥
Enrolled in school	1,911	32.2%	277	▥
Enrolled in nursery school, preschool	130	2.2%	61	▤
Public school	85	1.4%	46	▤
Private school	45	0.8%	48	▮
Enrolled in kindergarten	109	1.8%	44	▤
Public school	92	1.5%	42	▤
Private school	17	0.3%	26	▮
Enrolled in grade 1 to grade 4	532	9.0%	116	▤
Public school	458	7.7%	100	▤
Private school	74	1.2%	61	▮
Enrolled in grade 5 to grade 8	518	8.7%	99	▥
Public school	499	8.4%	98	▥
Private school	19	0.3%	29	▮
Enrolled in grade 9 to grade 12	367	6.2%	126	▤
Public school	357	6.0%	126	▤
Private school	10	0.2%	16	▮
Enrolled in college undergraduate years	246	4.1%	70	▤
Public school	231	3.9%	68	▤
Private school	15	0.3%	24	▮
Enrolled in graduate or professional school	10	0.2%	17	▮
Public school	10	0.2%	17	▮
Private school	0	0.0%	0	
Not enrolled in school	4,024	67.8%	341	▥
POPULATION AGE 65+ BY RELATIONSHIP AND HOUSEHOLD TYPE				
Total	677	100.0%	109	▥
Living in Households	677	100.0%	109	▥
Living in Family Households	545	80.5%	98	▥
Householder	236	34.9%	56	▤
Spouse	165	24.4%	46	▤
Parent	117	17.3%	59	▤
Parent-in-law	15	2.2%	24	▮
Other Relative	12	1.8%	17	▮
Nonrelative	0	0.0%	0	
Living in Nonfamily Households	132	19.5%	68	▤
Householder	132	19.5%	68	▤
Nonrelative	0	0.0%	0	
Living in Group Quarters	0	0.0%	0	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012-2016 American Community Survey

Reliability: ▥ high   ▤ medium   ▮ low

September 05, 2018

©2018 Esri

	2012 - 2016 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
HOUSEHOLDS BY TYPE AND SIZE AND AGE				
Family Households	1,110	73.1%	130	🟩
2-Person	359	23.6%	75	🟨
3-Person	127	8.4%	38	🟨
4-Person	236	15.5%	69	🟨
5-Person	93	6.1%	57	🟨
6-Person	92	6.1%	47	🟨
7+ Person	204	13.4%	64	🟨
Nonfamily Households	409	26.9%	80	🟩
1-Person	351	23.1%	78	🟨
2-Person	29	1.9%	22	🟥
3-Person	10	0.7%	17	🟥
4-Person	19	1.3%	32	🟥
5-Person	0	0.0%	0	
6-Person	0	0.0%	0	
7+ Person	0	0.0%	0	
HOUSEHOLDS BY PRESENCE OF PEOPLE UNDER 18 YEARS BY HOUSEHOLD TYPE				
Households with one or more people under 18 years	705	46.4%	108	🟩
Family households	690	45.5%	108	🟩
Married-couple family	406	26.7%	86	🟨
Male householder, no wife present	136	9.0%	62	🟨
Female householder, no husband present	149	9.8%	59	🟨
Nonfamily households	15	1.0%	22	🟥
Households with no people under 18 years	813	53.6%	97	🟩
Married-couple family	249	16.4%	51	🟨
Other family	170	11.2%	57	🟨
Nonfamily households	394	26.0%	78	🟨
HOUSEHOLDS BY PRESENCE OF PEOPLE 65 YEARS AND OVER, HOUSEHOLD SIZE AND HOUSEHOLD TYPE				
Households with Pop 65+	447	29.4%	73	🟩
1-Person	141	9.3%	68	🟨
2+ Person Family	306	20.2%	54	🟩
2+ Person Nonfamily	0	0.0%	0	
Households with No Pop 65+	1,071	70.6%	125	🟩
1-Person	209	13.8%	66	🟨
2+ Person Family	803	52.9%	120	🟩
2+ Person Nonfamily	58	3.8%	23	🟨

Reliability: 🟩 high 🟨 medium 🟥 low

September 05, 2018

©2018 Esri

	2012 - 2016 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
POPULATION AGE 5+ YEARS BY LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH				
Total	5,735	100.0%	554	🟩
5 to 17 years				
Speak only English	427	7.4%	183	🟨
Speak Spanish	922	16.1%	178	🟩
Speak English "very well" or "well"	912	15.9%	175	🟩
Speak English "not well"	10	0.2%	11	🟥
Speak English "not at all"	0	0.0%	0	
Speak other Indo-European languages	112	2.0%	108	🟥
Speak English "very well" or "well"	112	2.0%	108	🟥
Speak English "not well"	0	0.0%	0	
Speak English "not at all"	0	0.0%	0	
Speak Asian and Pacific Island languages	15	0.3%	24	🟥
Speak English "very well" or "well"	0	0.0%	0	
Speak English "not well"	0	0.0%	0	
Speak English "not at all"	15	0.3%	24	🟥
Speak other languages	28	0.5%	22	🟥
Speak English "very well" or "well"	24	0.4%	25	🟥
Speak English "not well"	4	0.1%	9	🟥
Speak English "not at all"	0	0.0%	0	
18 to 64 years				
Speak only English	935	16.3%	227	🟨
Speak Spanish	2,135	37.2%	275	🟩
Speak English "very well" or "well"	1,071	18.7%	159	🟩
Speak English "not well"	890	15.5%	166	🟩
Speak English "not at all"	174	3.0%	71	🟨
Speak other Indo-European languages	301	5.2%	135	🟨
Speak English "very well" or "well"	200	3.5%	113	🟨
Speak English "not well"	102	1.8%	71	🟥
Speak English "not at all"	0	0.0%	0	
Speak Asian and Pacific Island languages	31	0.5%	45	🟥
Speak English "very well" or "well"	3	0.1%	5	🟥
Speak English "not well"	6	0.1%	10	🟥
Speak English "not at all"	22	0.4%	35	🟥
Speak other languages	153	2.7%	126	🟥
Speak English "very well" or "well"	46	0.8%	44	🟥
Speak English "not well"	19	0.3%	21	🟥
Speak English "not at all"	87	1.5%	106	🟥
65 years and over				
Speak only English	555	9.7%	113	🟨
Speak Spanish	41	0.7%	33	🟥
Speak English "very well" or "well"	41	0.7%	33	🟥
Speak English "not well"	0	0.0%	0	
Speak English "not at all"	0	0.0%	0	
Speak other Indo-European languages	75	1.3%	55	🟥
Speak English "very well" or "well"	0	0.0%	0	
Speak English "not well"	0	0.0%	0	
Speak English "not at all"	75	1.3%	55	🟥
Speak Asian and Pacific Island languages	0	0.0%	0	
Speak English "very well" or "well"	0	0.0%	0	
Speak English "not well"	0	0.0%	0	
Speak English "not at all"	0	0.0%	0	
Speak other languages	6	0.1%	12	🟥
Speak English "very well" or "well"	0	0.0%	0	
Speak English "not well"	6	0.1%	12	🟥
Speak English "not at all"	0	0.0%	0	

September 05, 2018

	2012 - 2016 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
WORKERS AGE 16+ YEARS BY PLACE OF WORK				
Total	2,147	100.0%	266	🟩
Worked in state and in county of residence	1,331	62.0%	220	🟩
Worked in state and outside county of residence	815	38.0%	170	🟨
Worked outside state of residence	0	0.0%	0	
WORKERS AGE 16+ YEARS BY MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK				
Total	2,147	100.0%	266	🟩
Drove alone	1,315	61.2%	196	🟩
Carpooled	683	31.8%	145	🟨
Public transportation (excluding taxicab)	23	1.1%	20	🟥
Bus or trolley bus	13	0.6%	18	🟥
Streetcar or trolley car	10	0.5%	17	🟥
Subway or elevated	0	0.0%	0	
Railroad	0	0.0%	0	
Ferryboat	0	0.0%	0	
Taxicab	0	0.0%	0	
Motorcycle	0	0.0%	0	
Bicycle	26	1.2%	22	🟥
Walked	25	1.2%	33	🟥
Other means	36	1.7%	19	🟨
Worked at home	38	1.8%	23	🟨
WORKERS AGE 16+ YEARS (WHO DID NOT WORK FROM HOME) BY TRAVEL TIME TO WORK				
Total	2,108	100.0%	261	🟩
Less than 5 minutes	24	1.1%	18	🟥
5 to 9 minutes	144	6.8%	62	🟨
10 to 14 minutes	44	2.1%	21	🟨
15 to 19 minutes	145	6.9%	67	🟨
20 to 24 minutes	188	8.9%	86	🟨
25 to 29 minutes	80	3.8%	45	🟨
30 to 34 minutes	715	33.9%	147	🟨
35 to 39 minutes	288	13.7%	131	🟨
40 to 44 minutes	117	5.6%	59	🟨
45 to 59 minutes	250	11.9%	83	🟨
60 to 89 minutes	103	4.9%	55	🟨
90 or more minutes	11	0.5%	16	🟥
Average Travel Time to Work (in minutes)	N/A		N/A	🟥
FEMALES AGE 20-64 YEARS BY AGE OF OWN CHILDREN AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS				
Total	1,484	100.0%	215	🟩
Own children under 6 years only	205	13.8%	62	🟨
In labor force	159	10.7%	53	🟨
Not in labor force	45	3.0%	31	🟥
Own children under 6 years and 6 to 17 years	126	8.5%	48	🟨
In labor force	60	4.0%	34	🟨
Not in labor force	66	4.4%	34	🟨
Own children 6 to 17 years only	289	19.5%	63	🟨
In labor force	137	9.2%	49	🟨
Not in labor force	153	10.3%	55	🟨
No own children under 18 years	864	58.2%	174	🟨
In labor force	499	33.6%	105	🟨
Not in labor force	366	24.7%	111	🟨

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012-2016 American Community Survey   Reliability: 🟩 high 🟨 medium 🟥 low

September 05, 2018

	2012 - 2016 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION BY AGE & TYPES OF HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE				
Total	6,330	100.0%	648	high
Under 18 years:	2,098	33.1%	347	high
One Type of Health Insurance:	1,687	26.7%	290	high
Employer-Based Health Ins Only	145	2.3%	100	low
Direct-Purchase Health Ins Only	88	1.4%	80	low
Medicare Coverage Only	0	0.0%	0	
Medicaid Coverage Only	1,455	23.0%	282	high
TRICARE/Military Hlth Cov Only	0	0.0%	0	
VA Health Care Only	0	0.0%	0	
2+ Types of Health Insurance	82	1.3%	64	low
No Health Insurance Coverage	329	5.2%	185	medium
18 to 34 years:	1,538	24.3%	255	high
One Type of Health Insurance:	603	9.5%	166	medium
Employer-Based Health Ins Only	378	6.0%	121	medium
Direct-Purchase Health Ins Only	83	1.3%	68	low
Medicare Coverage Only	0	0.0%	0	
Medicaid Coverage Only	142	2.2%	119	low
TRICARE/Military Hlth Cov Only	0	0.0%	0	
VA Health Care Only	0	0.0%	0	
2+ Types of Health Insurance	43	0.7%	34	low
No Health Insurance Coverage	892	14.1%	164	high
35 to 64 years:	2,017	31.9%	240	high
One Type of Health Insurance:	1,132	17.9%	138	high
Employer-Based Health Ins Only	834	13.2%	125	high
Direct-Purchase Health Ins Only	99	1.6%	40	medium
Medicare Coverage Only	68	1.1%	29	medium
Medicaid Coverage Only	123	1.9%	54	medium
TRICARE/Military Hlth Cov Only	0	0.0%	0	
VA Health Care Only	9	0.1%	13	low
2+ Types of Health Insurance	230	3.6%	109	medium
No Health Insurance Coverage	655	10.3%	126	high
65+ years:	677	10.7%	109	high
One Type of Health Insurance:	254	4.0%	63	medium
Employer-Based Health Ins Only	0	0.0%	0	
Direct-Purchase Health Ins Only	0	0.0%	0	
Medicare Coverage Only	254	4.0%	63	medium
TRICARE/Military Hlth Cov Only	0	0.0%	0	
VA Health Care Only	0	0.0%	0	
2+ Types of Health Insurance:	381	6.0%	89	medium
Employer-Based & Direct-Purchase Health Insurance	0	0.0%	0	
Employer-Based Health & Medicare Insurance	63	1.0%	33	medium
Direct-Purchase Health & Medicare Insurance	184	2.9%	61	medium
Medicare & Medicaid Coverage	33	0.5%	23	low
Other Private Health Insurance Combos	0	0.0%	0	
Other Public Health Insurance Combos	35	0.6%	16	medium
Other Health Insrance Combinations	66	1.0%	40	medium
No Health Insurance Coverage	42	0.7%	51	low

Reliability: high medium low

September 05, 2018

Web Map Site
Area: 14.1 square miles

Prepared by Esri

	2012 - 2016 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
POPULATION BY RATIO OF INCOME TO POVERTY LEVEL				
Total	6,307	100.0%	648	▮▮▮
Under .50	528	8.4%	198	▮▮
.50 to .99	1,527	24.2%	408	▮▮
1.00 to 1.24	471	7.5%	243	▮▮
1.25 to 1.49	301	4.8%	123	▮▮
1.50 to 1.84	734	11.6%	260	▮▮
1.85 to 1.99	356	5.6%	138	▮▮
2.00 and over	2,390	37.9%	338	▮▮▮
CIVILIAN POPULATION AGE 18 OR OLDER BY VETERAN STATUS				
Total	4,232	100.0%	419	▮▮▮
Veteran	169	4.0%	46	▮▮
Nonveteran	4,063	96.0%	412	▮▮▮
Male	2,256	53.3%	268	▮▮▮
Veteran	169	4.0%	46	▮▮
Nonveteran	2,087	49.3%	265	▮▮▮
Female	1,976	46.7%	236	▮▮▮
Veteran	0	0.0%	0	
Nonveteran	1,976	46.7%	236	▮▮▮
CIVILIAN VETERANS AGE 18 OR OLDER BY PERIOD OF MILITARY SERVICE				
Total	169	100.0%	46	▮▮
Gulf War (9/01 or later), no Gulf War (8/90 to 8/01), no Vietnam Era	10	5.9%	17	▮
Gulf War (9/01 or later) and Gulf War (8/90 to 8/01), no Vietnam Era	0	0.0%	0	▮▮▮
Gulf War (9/01 or later), and Gulf War (8/90 to 8/01), and Vietnam Era	0	0.0%	0	
Gulf War (8/90 to 8/01), no Vietnam Era	0	0.0%	0	
Gulf War (8/90 to 8/01) and Vietnam Era	0	0.0%	0	
Vietnam Era, no Korean War, no World War II	57	33.7%	23	▮▮
Vietnam Era and Korean War, no World War II	0	0.0%	0	
Vietnam Era and Korean War and World War II	0	0.0%	0	
Korean War, no Vietnam Era, no World War II	43	25.4%	28	▮▮
Korean War and World War II, no Vietnam Era	7	4.1%	12	▮
World War II, no Korean War, no Vietnam Era	35	20.7%	27	▮
Between Gulf War and Vietnam Era only	9	5.3%	15	▮
Between Vietnam Era and Korean War only	8	4.7%	12	▮
Between Korean War and World War II only	0	0.0%	0	
Pre-World War II only	0	0.0%	0	
HOUSEHOLDS BY POVERTY STATUS				
Total	1,518	100.0%	135	▮▮▮
Income in the past 12 months below poverty level	437	28.8%	106	▮▮
Married-couple family	149	9.8%	73	▮▮
Other family - male householder (no wife present)	92	6.1%	58	▮▮
Other family - female householder (no husband present)	130	8.6%	54	▮▮
Nonfamily household - male householder	42	2.8%	49	▮
Nonfamily household - female householder	24	1.6%	14	▮▮
Income in the past 12 months at or above poverty level	1,081	71.2%	105	▮▮▮
Married-couple family	506	33.3%	65	▮▮▮
Other family - male householder (no wife present)	72	4.7%	32	▮▮
Other family - female householder (no husband present)	160	10.5%	58	▮▮
Nonfamily household - male householder	193	12.7%	56	▮▮
Nonfamily household - female householder	149	9.8%	65	▮▮

Reliability: ▮▮▮ high ▮▮ medium ▮ low

September 05, 2018

	2012 - 2016 ACS Estimate	Percent	MOE(±)	Reliability
HOUSEHOLDS BY OTHER INCOME				
Social Security Income	555	36.6%	85	🟩
No Social Security Income	963	63.4%	117	🟩
Retirement Income	234	15.4%	51	🟨
No Retirement Income	1,284	84.6%	132	🟩
GROSS RENT AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS				
<10% of Income	16	2.7%	27	🟥
10-14.9% of Income	5	0.8%	9	🟥
15-19.9% of Income	41	6.8%	31	🟥
20-24.9% of Income	70	11.7%	37	🟨
25-29.9% of Income	62	10.4%	58	🟥
30-34.9% of Income	71	11.9%	30	🟨
35-39.9% of Income	26	4.3%	30	🟥
40-49.9% of Income	64	10.7%	43	🟥
50+% of Income	216	36.1%	74	🟨
Gross Rent % Inc Not Computed	28	4.7%	25	🟥
HOUSEHOLDS BY PUBLIC ASSISTANCE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS				
Total	1,518	100.0%	135	🟩
With public assistance income	13	0.9%	9	🟥
No public assistance income	1,505	99.1%	136	🟩
HOUSEHOLDS BY FOOD STAMPS/SNAP STATUS				
Total	1,518	100.0%	135	🟩
With Food Stamps/SNAP	412	27.1%	111	🟨
With No Food Stamps/SNAP	1,107	72.9%	95	🟩
HOUSEHOLDS BY DISABILITY STATUS				
Total	1,518	100.0%	135	🟩
With 1+ Persons w/Disability	469	30.9%	83	🟩
With No Person w/Disability	1,050	69.2%	124	🟩

Data Note: N/A means not available. Population by Ratio of Income to Poverty Level represents persons for whom poverty status is determined. Household income represents income in 2014, adjusted for inflation.

2012-2016 ACS Estimate: The American Community Survey (ACS) replaces census sample data. Esri is releasing the 2012-2016 ACS estimates, five-year period data collected monthly from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2014. Although the ACS includes many of the subjects previously covered by the decennial census sample, there are significant differences between the two surveys including fundamental differences in survey design and residency rules.

Margin of error (MOE): The MOE is a measure of the variability of the estimate due to sampling error.   MOEs enable the data user to measure the range of uncertainty for each estimate with 90 percent confidence. The range of uncertainty is called the confidence interval, and it is calculated by taking the estimate +/- the MOE. For example, if the ACS reports an estimate of 100 with an MOE of +/- 20, then you can be 90 percent certain the value for the whole population falls between 80 and 120.

Reliability: These symbols represent threshold values that Esri has established from the Coefficients of Variation (CV) to designate the usability of the estimates. The CV measures the amount of sampling error relative to the size of the estimate, expressed as a percentage.

🟩   High Reliability: Small CVs (less than or equal to 12 percent) are flagged green to indicate that the sampling error is small relative to the estimate and the estimate is reasonably reliable.

🟨   Medium Reliability: Estimates with CVs between 12 and 40 are flagged yellow-use with caution.

🟥   Low Reliability: Large CVs (over 40 percent) are flagged red to indicate that the sampling error is large relative to the estimate. The estimate is considered very unreliable.

September 05, 2018

Row Labels	Sum of SF Units	Sum of SF Acres	Sum of MF Units	Sum of MF Acres	Sum of Retail Sf
20	501	109.01	134	16.39	88244
28	0	0	0	0	0
34	3	1.61	0	0	0
35	108	114.19	0	0	0
69	0	0	0	0	0
72	0	0	0	0	0
341	351	59.4	211	33.65	34160
342	1	0.22	0	0	45366
343	45	35.84	0	0	0
351	589	78.03	0	0	0
Grand Total	**1598**	**398.3**	**345**	**50.04**	**167770**

4.01 density Single Family   **6.89 density Multi Family**

Sum of Retail Acres	Sum of Office SF	Sum of Office Acres	Sum of Industrial SF	Sum of Industrial Acres
13.08	33378	3.44	4000	0.45
0	0	0	0	0
0.46	0	0	0	217.62
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
11.1	1320	0.97	43359	25.55
8.21	11001	1.43	35975	83.69
0	0	0	51471	38.03
0	0	0	0	0
32.85	**45699**	**5.84**	**134805**	**365.34**

5107 sf per acre Retail   **7825 sf per acre Office**   **369 sf per acre Industrial**

al

Row Labels	Sum of Vacant Residential	Sum of Vacant Commercial	Sum of Vacant Industrial
20	107.12	8.58	0
28	0	24.5	0
34	9.27	0	1317.65
35	293.4	67.37	572.89
69	118	0	0
72	230.32	0	0
341	30.68	9.76	20.86
342	0	23.5	18.38
343	356.06	1.15	27.88
351	0	34.51	0
Grand Total	**1144.85**	**169.37**	**1957.66**

Sum of Vacant Agricultural
0
0
4.54
148.63
0
349.3
0
0
0
0
502.47